

O13-8

**ON THE USE OF COMPLEX ATTRIBUTES AND THE
INFERRED SOURCE PARAMETER ESTIMATES IN THE
EXPLORATION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES**

GREGORY N. TSOKAS¹ and R. O. HANSEN²

¹ Geophysical Laboratory, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54006 Thessaloniki, Greece

² Pearson, de Ridder and Johnson, Inc., 12860 W.Cedar Dr. Suite 102., Lakewood, Colorado, 80228. U.S.A.

The present paper deals with the exploration of the complex attributes of the magnetic signal in order to extract properties of the sources of the anomalous fields. Of course, the analytic signal comprises the most well known among them. The "local phase" and the instantaneous wavenumber" comprise the other two quantities that lead also to source parameters mapping.

The analytic signal amplitude (Nabighian, 1972; 1974) poses some attractive features for any sort of magnetic prospecting. Its advantageous "geophysical" property is that it peaks exactly over the edge of the buried dipping contact that causes the magnetic anomaly. Also, its amplitude is independent of inclination, declination, remanent magnetisation and dip if the sources are 2-D. With respect to archaeological Geophysics, the only disadvantage is that the analytic signal anomalies are relatively much broader than the lateral extent of the buried target.

The aim is to delineate the edges of the buried bodies, to estimate their susceptibility contrast, to assess strike angles and produce burial depth estimates all at once. The complex attribute analysis offers the means to carry this out. It is exactly their applicability and effectiveness in exploring the subsurface for buried antiquities, which is investigated in these pages.

The analytic signal amplitude for the simple contact model which produces the magnetic total field, T , is

$$|A| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial Z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial X}\right)^2}$$

And the local phase, i.e. the phase of the analytic signal for any particular location is

$$v = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\partial T / \partial X}{\partial T / \partial Z} \right]$$

The local frequency is defined as the rate of change of the local phase, but customarily the local wave number is used

$$k = \frac{1}{|A|^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)$$

If we substitute the expressions for the vertical and horizontal gradients of the anomaly produced by a sloping contact Nabighian (1972) into the local wavenumber formula (3) yields

$$k = \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2}$$

where h is the burial depth (Thurston and Smith, 1997; Smith et al. 1998). If we define the coordinate system such that $x=0$ directly over the edge, the maximum occurs at the same point and offers a means for burial depth estimation since at $x=0$, then $h=1/k$

Thurston and Smith (1997) devised a technique to estimate the local dip and the local susceptibility contrast as well by means of equation (3). That is $\delta = \theta + 2I - 90^\circ$ again at $x=0$. The local susceptibility is obtained by

$$k = \frac{|A|}{2kF_c \sin \gamma F_c}$$

A useful model in various geophysical applications is the slab that also serves in archaeological Geophysics. For instance a mesh of ruins that the archaeologists call "destruction phase" can be modelled as a magnetic slab. The same applies in some cases for structures like kilns, pits, and tombs. The slab used here is buried at 1 m depth, its thickness is 0.5 m and its susceptibility contrast is 0.0005 (emu). Figure (1) shows the recovered local strike estimates of this source. The plane view of a slab is also shown in the same figure. The edges are completely delineated and strike angles recovered give a clear idea of the shape of the target.




Fig.1: The local strike estimates inferred from the complex attributes of the anomaly that the slab model produces. The plane view of the model is represented by the solid line. Strike estimates are grouped in two categories.

References

- Nabighian, M. N. (1972). The analytic signal of two-dimensional magnetic bodies with polygonal cross section: its properties and use for automated anomaly interpretation: *Geophysics*, 37, 507-517.
- Nabighian, M. N. (1974). Additional comments on the analytic signal of two-dimensional magnetic bodies with polygonal cross section: *Geophysics*, 39, 507-517.
- Smith, R.S., Thurston, J. B., Dai, T.-F. and McLeod, I. N. (1998). ISPTTM - the improved source parameter imaging method: *Geophysical Prospecting*, 46 (2), 141-152.
- Thurston, J. B. and Smith, R. S. (1997). Automatic conversion of magnetic data to depth, dip, and susceptibility contrast using the SPITM methods: *Geophysics*, 62 (3), 8-7-813.